HANSLOPE PARISH RESIDENTS SURVEY 2016

RESULTS OF A CONSULTATION TO PROVIDE INFORMATION FROM A SURVEY CARRIED OUT JULY/AUGUST 2016 TO ENABLE HANSLOPE PARISH COUNCIL TO UPDATE THE PARISH PLAN AND DEVELOP A NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR THE PARISH OF HANSLOPE



The analysis below made up of Parts 1, 2 & 3 (excluding 3.7 & 3.8 which is on a separate document). There were 417 questionnaires completed and each section indicates the number answered by residents, this includes questions fully and partly answered. The data is presented in two formats *a) discussion b) tabular format*. In section 2 (specifically 2.1 & 2.5) where residents have indicated 'need improving' these have <u>not</u> been addressed where numbers are fewer than 20%, only over 20% of the total number answered, a separate box outlines a summary of the overall comments, a selection of comments from residents (these are comments which have been repeated consistently) & number of residents who commented.

Of 417 questionnaires the analysis below is based on the numbers of participants who have answered each question, this includes questions fully & part answered:

Part 1. Household/Demographic Information

1.1 Household Numbers, Age and Activity

1.1a) Attend a pre-school or nursery

The number of people between 0-4 in the household who *attend a preschool or nursery* in the village are 32 & 11 outside the village. The number of people between 0-4 in the household *used to attend a preschool or nursery* in the village are 41 & 20 outside the village.

	0-4	5-12	12-17	18-24	25-64	65-74	75+	Please indicate for a. and b with a tick, if members of your household used to attend:
a. Attend pre-school or nursery.								
In the village	32							41
Outside the village	11							20

The number of people between aged 12-17 in the household who attend school or college (including those away at full-time education e.g. University) in the village are 52 & 78 outside the village. The number of people between aged 12-17 in the household who used to attend a school or college in the village are 32 & 36 outside the village.

	0-4	5-12	12-17	18-24	25-64	65-74	75+	Please indicate for a. and b with a tick, if members of your household used to attend:
b. Attend school or college (including those the	nat may be a	way in t	full time	educatio	on e.g. a	t Univers	sity).	
In the village		46	1	5				32
Outside the village		13	51	14				36

The number of people aged between 12-75+ in the household who work full-time or part-time (including those at school or college) within the parish in agricultural and related activities are 7, in other employment are 63, are self-employed 41

	0-4	5-12	12-17	18-24	25-64	65-74	75+	Please indicate for a. and b with a tick, if members of your household used to attend:
c. Work full time or part time (excluding those	e at school o	r colleg	e) withii	n the par	ish			
In agriculture and related activities *				1	2	4		
In other employment				2	57	4		
Self employed					36	4	1	

The number of people aged between 12-75+ in the household who work full-time or part-time (excluding those at school or college) outside of the parish in agricultural and related activities are 5, in other employment within the MK area are 158, in other employment outside the MK area are 142

	0-4	5-12	12-17	18-24	25-64	65-74	75+	Please indicate for a. and b with a tick, if members of your household used to attend:
d. Work full time or part time (excluding those	at school o	r colleg	e) outsio	le of the	parish			
In agriculture and related activities *					2	3		
In other employment – within the MK area				11	137	10		
In other employment – outside the MK area			2	15	118	7		

The number of people aged between 12-75+ in the household who are not in active employment at this time are 37

	0-4	5-12	12-17	18-24	25-64	65-74	75+	Please indicate for a. and b with a tick, if members of your household used to attend:
e. Not in active employment at this time					30	6	1	

	0-4	5-12	12-17	18-24	25-64	65-74	75+	Please indicate for a. and b with a tick, if members of your household used to attend:
f. Retired					39	195	111	

The number of people aged between 25-75+ in the household who are retired are 345

1.2 HOUSEHOLD DETAILS- RESIDENCE (417 answered)

The location and the nature of the property in which your household resides for *Hanslope and Long Street* there are 362 (92%) owner occupied house/flats (this figure includes 2 x bungalows). There are 14 (4%) rented house/flat of which are private & 16 (4%) rented house/flats which are not private.

In Hanslope and Long St:	
Owner occupied house/flat	362
Rented house/flat (please indicate with a P, if this is a private rental)	30 14 (P) 16

The location and the nature of the property in which your household resides *in the hamlets of Tathall End, Bullington End, Pindon End, Hungate End, Higham Cross* there are 14 (93%) owner occupied house/flats & 1 (7%) rented house/flats of which are private.

Or, in the hamlets of Tathall End, Bullington End, Pindon End, Hungate End, Higham Cross:					
Owner occupied house/flat	14				
Rented house/flat (please indicate with a P, if this is a private rental)	1 (P)				

The location and the nature of the property in which your household resides *in other parts of the parish* there are 3 (30%) owner occupied house/flats, 1 (10%) rented house/flat of which is private & 6 (60%) farm or agricultural holding

Or, in other parts of the parish:	
Owner occupied house /flat	3
Rented house/flat (please indicate with a P, if this is a private rental)	1
Farm or agricultural holding	6

1.3 DOGS AND HORSES (410 answered)

1.3a Dogs

There were 283 (69%) households which *did not own dogs* & 127(31%) which *own dogs*

Of the households which own dog 53 (71%) often, 18 (24%) sometimes and 4 (5%) rarely walked them on pavements/roads in the village/parish; 22 (37%) often, 17 (29%) sometimes and 20 (34%) rarely walked them on the recreation ground. A further 88 (90%) often, 9 (9%) sometimes and 1 (1%) rarely walked them on public footpaths across the fields in the parish

Y ir		If YES, do you exercise them:	Often	Sometimes	Rarely
NO	number	On pavements/roads in the village/parish	53	18	4
		On the recreation ground	22	17	20
283	127	On public footpaths across the fields in the parish	88	9	1

1.3b HORSES (344 answered)

There were **329** (96%) households which *did not own horses*; **15** (4%) households which *own horses*. Of the households which had horses **8** (100%) often, **0** (0%) sometimes and **0** rarely had *walked them on fields close to the stables*. A further **8** (73%) often, **3** (27%) sometimes and **0** rarely had *walked them on public bridleways across the fields in the parish*.

ΝΟ	YES IF YES, do you exercise them:		Often	Sometimes	Rarely
indicat	indicate number	On fields close to the stables	8		
329	15	On the public bridleways across the fields in the parish	8	3	

1.4 CARS

(331 answered)

Members in household with cars parked on the property (including garages or on off road parking spaces) were 305 (92%) & N/A: 26 (8%)

That are:	YES Indicate number	NO /Not applicable
Regularly parked on the property (including garages or on off road parking spaces)	305	26

(134 answered)

Members in household with cars regularly parked on an adjacent public road is 81 (60%) & NO/N/A: 53 (40%)

That are:	YES	NO /Not applicable
Regularly parked on an adjacent public road	81	53

(72 answered)

Members in household with cars regularly parked off road at another location is 7 (10%) & NO/N/A: 65 (90%)

That are:	YES	NO/Not applicable
Regularly parked off road at another location.	7	65

1.5 OTHER VEHICLES, CARAVANS AND TRAILERS

(307 answered)

Owned by members of your household where 14 (5%) had a towed or motor caravan parked on their property, 3 (1%) parked off road at another location, 0 (0%) parked on an adjacent public road & 290 (94%) No/Not applicable

	YES – parked on my property	YES - parked off road at another location	YES –parked on an adjacent public road	NO /Not applicable
Towed or Motor Caravan	14	3		290

(309 answered)

Owned by members of your household where 27 (9%) had a trailer e.g. general purpose, camping, boat parked on their property, 6 (2%) parked off road at another location, 0 (0%) parked on an adjacent public road & 276 (88%) No/Not applicable

	YES –	YES -	YES –parked on an adjacent public road	NO /Not applicable
Trailer e.g. general purpose, camping, boat	27	6		276

(282 answered)

Owned by members of your household where 1 (1%) had other commercial vehicle, other than light vans *parked on their property*, 1 (1%) *parked off road at another location*, 1 (1%) *parked on an adjacent public road*, 279 (97%) No/Not applicable:

	YES – parked on my property	YES - parked off road at another location	YES –parked on an adjacent public road	NO /Not applicable
Other commercial vehicle, other than light vans	1	1	1	279

(252 answered)

Owned by members of your household who had **3 (1%)** other large mobile equipment e.g. Mobile shops, tractor/digger **parked on their property**, **2 (1%)** parked off road at another location, **0 (0%)** parked on an adjacent public road & **253 (98%)** No/Not applicable

	YES – parked on my property	YES - parked off road at another location	YES –parked on an adjacent public road	NO /Not applicable
Other large mobile equipment e.g. Mobile shops, tractor/digger	3	2		253

1.6 BICYCLES

(179 answered)

Members of your household of 12 years of age or older, do or do not use a bicycle to travel to the village school, 1 (1%) do - use regularly, 2 (1%) do - but use infrequently, 176 (98%) do not use at all

(176 answered)

Members of your household of 12 years of age or older, do or do not use a bicycle to travel to work in the parish, 5 (3%) do - use regularly, 2 (1%) do - but use infrequently, 169 (96%) do not use at all

(179 answered)

Members of your household of 12 years of age or older, do or do not use a bicycle to travel to work outside of the parish, 6 (3%) do - use regularly, 4 (2%) do - but use infrequently, 169 (95%) do not use at all

(238 answered)

Members of your household of 12 years of age or older, do or do not use a bicycle to access facilities in the village, 39 (16%) do - use regularly, 42 (18%) do - but use infrequently, 157 (66%) do not use at all

(303 answered)

Members of your household of 12 years of age or older, do or do not use for leisure activities on roads, 58 (19%) do - use regularly, 87 (29%) do - but use infrequently, 158 (52%) do not use at all

(252 answered)

Members of your household of 12 years of age or older, do or do not use for leisure activities off roads on bridleways, 33 (13%) do - use regularly, 59 (23%) do - but use infrequently, 160 (64%) do not use at all

	Travel to the village school	Travel to work in the parish	Travel to work outside of the parish	Use to access facilities in the village	Use for leisure activities on roads	Use for leisure activities off road, on bridleways
Do - use regularly	1	5	6	39	58	33
Do - but use infrequently	2	2	4	42	87	59
Do not use at all	176	169	169	157	158	160

PART 2. VILLAGE/PARISH AMENITIES AND ISSUES

2.1 Village Community Facilities

(233 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 221 (95%) suggested *Pre-schooling* was *good to adequate* & 12 (5%) *needed improving*

(250 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 238 (95%) suggested *Primary and middle schooling* was good to adequate & 12 (5%) needed improving

(376 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 355 (94%) The Village Hall was good to adequate & 21 (6%) needed improving

(336 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 332 (99%) suggested *Religious buildings* was good to adequate, 4 (1%) needed improving

(266 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 204 (77%) suggested the sports pavilion was good to adequate, 62 (23%) needed improving

(412 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 361 (88%) suggested *The GP surgery* was *good to adequate* & 51 (12%) *needed improving*

(366 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 343 (94%) suggested *the recreation ground* was *good to adequate* & 23 (6%) *needed improving*

(323 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 306 (95%) suggested the children's play areas was good to adequate & 17 (5%) needed improving

(371 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 303 (82%) suggested *Public seating* was *good to adequate* & 68 (18%) *needed improving*

(304 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish 238 (78%) suggested *Facilities for the more elderly residents* in the village was *good to adequate* & 66 (22%) needed improving

(296 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 209 (71%) suggested *Facilities for families in the village* (e.g. picnic areas) was good to adequate & 87 (29%) needed improving

(293 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, **198 (85%)** suggested **Facilities for youth/adult** recreation/leisure in the village was good to adequate & **95 (15%)** needed improving

16 | Page

(356 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, **318 (89%)** suggested *the green areas in and around the village* was *good to adequate* & **38 (11%)** *needed improving*

(364 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 321 (88%) suggested *Planting of trees, shrubs, hedges* was good to adequate & 43 (12%) *needed improving*

(263 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 243 (92%) suggested *the allotments* was *good to adequate* & 20 (8%) *needed improving*

(373 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 269 (72%) suggested Standard of public footpaths and bridleways was good to adequate & 104 (28%) needed improving

(349 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 275 (79%) suggested Shops/café/takeaway was good to adequate & 74 (21%) needed improving

(378 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 355 (94%) suggested *Post Office* was good to adequate & 23 (6%) needed improving

(374 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 341 (91%) suggested *Pubs and clubs* was good to adequate & 33 (9%) needed improving

(376 answered)

Household opinions of the adequacy of the following existing facilities in the village/parish, 268 (71%) suggested *IT/Internet/broadband communications provision* was good to adequate & 108 (29%) needed improving

	Good	Adequate	Need Improving	If you have ticked 'Need Improving', please state very briefly on what in your opinion needs to be done:
Pre- schooling	125	96	12	
Primary and middle schooling	159	79	12	
The Village Hall	200	155	21	
Religious buildings	229	103	4	
The Sports pavilion	68	136	62	
The GP Surgery	291	70	51	
The recreation ground	215	128	23	
The children's play areas	179	127	17	
Public seating (e.g. benches)	102	201	68	

Facilities for youth/adult recreation/leisure in the village	43	155	95	
Facilities for the more elderly residents in the village	48	190	66	
Facilities for families in the village (e.g. picnic areas)	39	170	87	
The green areas in and around the village	143	175	38	
Planting of trees, shrubs, hedges	113	208	43	
The allotments	88	155	20	
Standard of public footpaths and bridleways	85	184	104	
Shops/café/takeaway	113	162	74	
Post Office	208	147	23	
Pubs and Club	195	146	33	
IT/Internet/broadband communications provision	77	191	108	

'Need Improving'

Village Community Facilities which 'Need Improving'	Quotes from residents	Number Commented
 Sports pavilion – requires renovation Summary of opinions: With 23% raising concerns about the condition of the sports pavilion but also its use for community members. 	"Renovate" "New one" "Heating needed" "Modernise" "Improve for community use" "Very run down. Needs refurbishment"	39
 Facilities for the more elderly residents in the village Summary of opinions: With 22% raising concerns that more facilities are needed but not many specific suggestions, one which was to use the current facilities for their use and H&S concerns regarding their safety in the village with regards to traffic flow. 	"New sports pavilion, use as day centre for the old" "No regular meeting place" "More needed" "Zebra crossing opposite shop"	37
 Facilities for families in the village Summary of opinions: With 29% raising concerns regarding the need for more facilities with expansion with some not even aware of any current facilities, more picnic areas required in different areas, new suggestions were made. 	"Need better picnic seating" "Picnic tables on recreation ground" "Need more facilities with expansion" "More than recreation ground as facilities" "Not aware of any" "Nature reserves for families required" "There are no specific facilities"	56

<i>Footpaths & Bridleways</i> Summary of opinions: With 28% raising concerns that footpaths and bridleways are not maintained enough, more maintenance required, better signage & widening. The use of the paths for parking was highlighted as contributing to the problem.	"Not maintained enough" "Make paths clearer to walk" "Better signage & need to be wider" "Need to repair footpaths and bridleways" "Styles and gates need maintenance" "Cars parking on footpaths" "Repair and weed control needed" "Lots of dog mess" "Uneven broken down pot holes"	73
Shops/café/takeaway Summary of opinions: With 21% raising concerns about losing shops, suggestions made for additional shops, operational hours highlighted as well as cost and variety. Location for more vulnerable residents was also a concern.	"Chip shop needed" "Café needed" "More needed" "Bring back the kebab van" "with the loss of shops previously, it would be good to have more" "More eating out venues" "Facilities later on a Sunday" "Bakery" "Need more shops etc." "Better takeaway needed" "Larger better priced and better stocked supermarket" "Not enough variety" "Too far away from old people to walk" "Community shop would be good on Long Street"	44
The IT/Internet/broadband communications Summary of opinions:	"Too slow, need faster speed" "Weak broadband signal" "Update with latest technology" "Improve cabling for faster broadband" "Need Fibre connection"	81
With 29% raising concerns about the broadband provision which was considered to be too slow, suggestions were made with regard to improvement.		

2.2 COMMUNICATION OF PARISH ISSUES

(395 answered)

Your household's opinion on the following means of communication on issues affecting the village/parish, 365 (92%) said *Notice boards* were *good to adequate* & 30 (8%) said *needed improving*

(399 answered)

Your household's opinion on the following means of communication on issues affecting the village/parish 377 (94%) said *Parish Council Newsletters* was good to adequate & 22 (6%) said needed improving

(292 answered)

Your household's opinion on the following means of communication on issues affecting the village/parish 245 (84%) said Village/Parish web site was good to adequate & 47 (16%) said needed improving

(265 answered)

Your household's opinion on the following means of communication on issues affecting the village/parish 253 (95%) said Village/Parish Facebook group was good to adequate & 12 (5%) said needed improving

(327 answered)

Your household's opinion on the following means of communication on issues affecting the village/parish 303 (93%) said **Parish Council open meetings** was **good to adequate** & 24 (7%) said **needed improving**

(302 answered)

Your household's opinion on the following means of communication on issues affecting the village/parish 278 (92%) said Other Parish Council meetings was good to adequate & 24 (7%) said needed improving

	Good	Adequate	Need Improving	If you have ticked 'Need Improving', please state very briefly on what in your opinion needs to be done:
Notice boards	180	185	30	
Parish Council Newsletter	226	151	22	
Village/parish web site	82	163	47	
Village/parish Facebook group	119	134	12	
Parish Council Open Meetings	122	181	24	
Other Parish Council meetings	106	172	24	

Communication of Parish Issues which 'Need Improving' – Summary of Comments	Comments
Village/parish website	"Needs Updating"
	"Not used"
	"Not useful"
	"Wasn't aware there was one"
Newsletter	"Needs to be produced more often"
Facebook Group	"Not used"
	"Needs a purpose"
	"Update it more often"
	"Didn't know there was a Facebook page"
Parish Council Open Meetings	"More of these needed per year"
	"More open meetings"
	"Advertise better"
Other Parish Council Meetings	"Publish agenda in advance and draft minutes, make
	available on web"
	"Did not know about these, let people know"
	"Meetings with little or no feedback"
	"Residents are not listened to"

2.2b) Household awareness of the following?

(396 answered)

The Neighbourhood Action Group 138 (35%) Yes 258 (65%) No

(394 answered)

The Thames Valley Police alert system that provides emails on local crime issues in the area 142 (36%) Yes 252 (64%) No

	YES	NO
The Neighbourhood Action Group (NAG) – as a forum for residents from across different parishes to identify common issues and possible solutions in liaison with local councils and the police.	138	258
The Thames Valley Police alert system that provides e mails on local crime issues in the area.	142	252

PLEASE NOTE: Communications relating to The Neighbourhood Action Group & Thames Valley alert system don't seem to be reaching a lot of residents, better communication required here.

2.3 USE OFTHE HANSLOPE GP SURGERY

(404 answered)

2.3 a) Members of your household registered with the Hanslope GP Surgery, 388 (96%) Yes 16 (4%) No (some/all registered with others)

YES	NO- some are registered with other GP practices	NO – all are registered with other GP practices	
388	12	4	

(415 answered)

2.3 b) Household members registered at the Hanslope GP Surgery, the normal mode of travel would be 317 (64%) Walk/cycle, 138 (36%) By car 0 (0%) By Taxi 2 (0%) By Public Transport 1(0%) By Scooter (this was added by participant)

Walk/cycle	317
By car	138
By taxi	
By public transport	2
By scooter	1

2.4 PUBLIC TRANSPORT

(295 answered)

2.4 a) Households currently who use public transport for any of the following reasons:

Travelling to school: 21 (7%) regularly; 0 (0%) infrequently; 2 (1%) Yes, but very infrequently; 272 (92%) do not use

(307 answered)

Commuting to work: 14 (4%) regularly; 3 (1%) infrequently; 15 (5%) Yes, but very infrequently; 275 (90%) do not use

(347 answered)

Leisure use e.g. visit to cinema or social activity: 16 (5%) regularly; 25 (7%) infrequently; 60 (17%) Yes, but very infrequently; 246 (71%) do not use

(349 answered)

Shopping (food): 25 (7%) regularly; 29 (8%) infrequently; 23 (7%) Yes, but very infrequently; 272 (78%) do not use

(371 answered)

Shopping (other): 25 (7%) regularly; 38 (10%) infrequently; 60 (16%) Yes, but very infrequently; 248 (67%) do not use

(336 answered)

Visit out of village medical centres e.g. hospital, dentist: 10 (3%) regularly; 12 (3%) infrequently; 33 (10%) Yes, but very infrequently; 281(84%) do not use

(354 answered)

Travel to train/coach stations, airport: 14 (4%) regularly; 18 (5%) infrequently; 79 (22%) Yes, but very infrequently; 243(69%) do not use

	Yes – regularly i.e. 3 or more than trips per week	Yes – but infrequently i.e. 1 or 2 trips per week	Yes – but very infrequently	Do not use
Travelling to school	21		2	272
Commuting to work	14	3	15	275
Leisure use e.g. visit to cinema or social activity	16	25	60	246
Shopping (food)	25	29	23	272
Shopping (other)	25	38	60	248
Visit out of village medical centres e.g. hospital, dentist	10	12	33	281
Travel to train/coach stations, airport	14	18	79	243

2.4 b) Where members of your household do not use public transport, or only infrequently, which of the following would make them more inclined to use it:

(325 answered)

More frequent services 193 (59%) responded would/might make me use it more frequently & 132 (41%) would not make me use it

(234 answered)

Cleaner Vehicles 59 (25%) would/might make me use it more frequently & 175 (75%) would not make me use it

(249 answered)

Earlier services 83 (33%) would/might make me use it more frequently & 166 (67%) would not make me use it

(300 answered)

Later services 148 (49%) would/might make me use it more frequently & 152 (51%) would not make me use it

(261 answered)

Cheaper Fares 104 (40%) would/might make me use it more frequently & 157 (60%) would not make me use it

(338 answered)

Direct routes to Stony Stratford 207 (61%) would/might make me use it more frequently & 131 (39%) would not make me use it

(328 answered)

Direct routes to Newport Pagnell 174 (53%) would/might make me use it more frequently & 154 (47%) would not make me use it

	Would make me use it more frequently	Might make me use it more frequently	Would not make me use it
More frequent services	93	100	132
Cleaner vehicles	15	44	175
Earlier services	37	46	166
Later services	87	61	152
Cheaper fares	49	55	157
Better personal safety	24	38	173
Direct routes to Stony Stratford	111	96	131
Direct routes to Newport Pagnell	91	83	154

2.5 HIGHWAYS AND ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

Opinion of your household about the adequacy of the provision following existing services and facilities in the village/parish:

(408 answered)

Refuse collection services 392 (96%) suggested they were good to adequate & 16 (4%) said they needed improving

(399 answered)

Standard of repair pavements 274 (69%) suggested they were good to adequate & 125 (31%) said they needed improving

(402 answered)

Standard of repair roads 222 (55%) suggested they were good to adequate & 180 (45%) said they needed improving

(381 answered)

Standard of public footpaths 290 (76%) suggested they were good to adequate & 91 (24%) said they needed improving

(306 answered)

State of bridleways 267 (87%) suggested they were good to adequate & 39 (13%) said they needed improving

(270 answered)

Cycle routes 191 (71%) suggested they were good to adequate & 79 (29%) said they needed improving

(382 answered)

Verge grass cutting/weed control 273 (71%) suggested it was good to adequate & 109 (29%) said it needed improving

(364 answered)

Litter bins 302 (83%) suggested they were good to adequate & 62 (17%) said they needed improving

(336 answered)

31 | P a g e

Dog waste bins 256 (76%) suggested they were good to adequate & 80 (24%) said they needed improving

(394 answered)

Cleanliness and tidiness in the village 339 (85%) suggested it was good to adequate & 55 (15%) said it needed improving

(373 answered)

Recreational spaces in the village 351 (94%) suggested they were good to adequate & 22 (6%) said they needed improving

(370 answered)

Green spaces and ponds in the village and surrounding areas 349 (94%) suggested they were *good to adequate* & 21 (6%) said they *needed improving*

(389 answered)

Parking in the village 157 (40%) suggested it was good to adequate & 232 (60%) said it needed improving

(393 answered)

Traffic calming in and approaching the village 202 (51%) suggested it was good to adequate & 191 (49%) said it needed improving

	Good	Adequate	Need Improving
Refuse collection services	332	60	16
Standard of repair - Pavements	66	208	125
Standard of repair – Roads	34	188	180
State of public footpaths	60	230	91
State of bridleways	58	209	39
Cycle routes	43	148	79
Verge grass cutting/weed control	91	182	109

Litter bins	93	209	62
Dog waste bins	70	186	80
Cleanliness and tidiness in the village	137	202	55
Recreational spaces in the village	148	203	22
Green spaces/ponds in the village and surrounding area	160	189	21
Parking in the village	26	131	232
Traffic calming in and approaching the village	45	157	191

Highways and Environmental Issues which 'Need	Quotes from residents	Number
Improving'		
Standard of repair – Pavements	"Badly in need of repair"	92
	"Poor repair"	
Summary of opinions:	"Overgrown"	
	"Weeds growing from gutters and pavement and in poor repair"	
With 31% raising concerns about standard of repair –	"Cracks and potholes need repairing due to cars parked on	
pavements, the main concerns were lack of repair, poor repair,	pavement"	
no weed control, length of time without resurfacing, H&S risk to		
residents.	"Some not resurfaced for 10 years"	
	"Tidy up and level"	
	"Cracked and uneven"	
	"Uneven and hazardous"	
	"Uneven danger to elderly people"	
	"Revamp, regular maintenance"	
	"Dreadful"	
	"No repairs done in Hartwell Road for 40 years"	
Standard repair of roads	"Residents have to wait until roads are very poor to get anything	136
	done"	
Summary of opinions:	"Pot holes need repairing frequently"	
	"Patch repairing does not work"	
with 45% raising concerns about the standard of the roads, the		
main concerns were: roads are left too long for repair allowing		
for very large potholes throughout the village, the surface quality	"Shocking, it takes months to repair"	
was poor and attempts to patch up the problem is not sufficient.	"The number of pot holes needing mending are increasing"	
	"Poor surfaces"	
	"Huge potholes on all roads in the village"	
	"Very, very bad"	
	"Surface quality, poor patching up is no good"	
	"Basic repair, more needs doing"	
	"Left too long"	
	"Not kept well"	
State of public footpaths	"Poor maintenance, Green End Lane destroyed by horses"	73
	"Poor, in need of repair"	
Summary of opinions:	"Many styles in disrepair, paths overgrown from May to November"	
	"Poor, danger to disabled"	
With 24% raising concerns about state of public footpaths, the	"Better signage needed"	
main concerns were lack of maintenance, danger to residents,	"Remove parked cars"	
vehicle using them as car parks, size and safety& absence of	Maintenance & cleaning needed"	
footpaths.	"Not wide enough, safety risk"	

	"No footpaths to Tatenhall End or Wolverton" "Need resurfacing" "Weed care needed" "Nettles issue, brambles and grass should be cut" "Car parks, can't walk on them"	
Cycle routes Summary of opinions: With 29% raising concerns about cycle routes, the main concerns were the absence of cycle routes, particular areas which needed resurfacing, developing routes as an alternative mode of travel.	"Between Castlethorpe and Havesham need hard surface, more maintenance to hedges" "Need off road route Salcey Forrest – Castlethorpe, join the redways" "Roads not suitable for cycle clubs" "Non-existent" "Cycle route from Village to Hanslope Park to reduce car use" "Route Hanslope to Hanslope Park needs to be put in, short route fraught with danger"	43
Verge grass cutting/weed control	"Full of weeds, maintain" "Maintain more often" "No weed control, weeds 1 foot high"	69
Summary of opinions:	"Overridden this year"	
With 29% raising concerns about verge grass cutting/weed control, the main concerns were lack of maintenance & when maintained grass left without clearing.	"Mess made every time grass cut" "Cut back more out of the village" "Not cleared, left to blow away" "Not cut regularly" "Not kept well"	
Dog Waste	"More bins are needed"	47
Summary of opinions:	"Regular emptying" "Bins not being used"	
With 24% raising concerns about dog waste, the main concerns were more bins needed, emptying of bins and residents not using bins.		
Parking in the village	"Parking on pavements a real issue" "Inconsiderate people parking with no regard for pedestrians & other	223
Summary of opinions:	road users"	
with 60% raising concerns about parking as a serious issue where bus/large vehicles unable to get through, with vehicles parked both sides of the road, inconsiderate people parking with no regard for pedestrians & other road users. More yellow lines are necessary and signage. Commercial vans should not be parking in residential areas as this causes road safety issues.	"Too many cars, not enough garages" "Not enough off street parking" "Congestion caused by pub/shops Gold Street" "Yellow lines painting at junctions & more signage" "Residents should not park on grass outside houses" "More off road parking required"	

Residents should not be allowed to park on grass areas outside their properties. Particular areas of concern included: High Street, Western Drive & Gold Street where double parking occurs causing danger to pedestrians	"People should be made to use garages" "School Bus nowhere to park due to parents taking parking" "More shop and surgery parking" "More properties, more cars" "Most households have two cars or more, at least one is on the road"	
	"Commercial vans not parked in residential areas (only car parks),	
	this causes road safety issues"	
	"Build infrastructure before Housing"	
	"Restriction on people parking caravans on drives"	
Traffic calming in and approaching the village	"Put speed cameras in Gold Street"	185
	"Traffic calming outside Long Street before serious accident, horses	
Summary of opinions:	and cyclists vulnerable"	
	"Dangerous, safer without road narrowing"	
With 60% raising concerns about traffic calming, especially	"Parked cars adding to traffic calming issue"	
morning traffic with children on route to school is a major	"Long Street road, humps are useless"	
concern, crossing road by Eastfield Drive area, speed in areas	"20 MPH speed limit through village"	
such as Long Street & Gold Street traffic relentless and	"Calming measures Castlethorpe Road"	
dangerous for all pedestrians will result in serious accident.	"Slowing outside shops"	
Vehicles are travelling to fast not obeying the speed limit.	"Restricts traffic flow resulting in long traffic queues"	
Hanslope Park travel needs addressing. Less speed humps	"Speed humps needed"	
and more speed cameras and more speed limit signs required	"Hanslope Park traffic needs addressing"	
to control traffic.	"Improve Long Street before a serious accident occurs"	
	"How can we accept more housing when traffic problems out of control"	
	"H&S risk with increased traffic flow"	
	"Children at risk, cars speed outside school"	
	"No calming works"	

Part 3: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

3.1a What do you value most about living in the village or parish or Hanslope?

Of 417 questionnaires the analysis below is based on the numbers of participants who have answered each question, this includes questions fully & part answered:

Of 367 questionnaires answered, <u>95% (350)</u> participants *resident in the village* thought it was *very important 'Living in a small historic established community'*, <u>5%</u> (17) thought it less important to not important.

How do you value:	1 Extremely important		2 Very important		3 Important		4 Less important		5 Not important	
If you are resident in the village *	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
'Living in a small historic established community' - and/or	234	64%	83	22%	33	9%	14	4%	3	1%

Of **368** questionnaires answered, <u>98% (363)</u> participants **resident in the village** thought it was *extremely important, very important or important* **'Living in a rural village environment', 2%** (5) thought it less important to not important.

		1		2		3		4	5		
How do you value:	Extremely important		Very		Important		Less		Not		
	important		important				important		Important		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
If you are resident in the village *											
'Living in in a rural village environment?'	271	74%	68	18%	24	6%	2	1%	3	1%	

Of 39 questionnaires answered, <u>82% (32)</u> participants resident in the village thought it was extremely important, very important or important 'Living in a smaller rural community in a rural environment with links to the village?' 18% (7) (thought it less important to not important.

	How do you value: Extremely important					3		4	5	
How do you value:					Important		Less		Not	
							important		important	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
If you are resident in one of the surrounding hamlets in the parish**: 'Living in a smaller rural community in a rural environment with										
links to the village?'	24	61%	7	18%	1	3%	4	10%	3	8%

Of 29 questionnaires answered, <u>83% (24)</u> participants resident in the village thought it was extremely important, very important or important 'Living in a smaller rural community in a rural environment with links to the village?' 17% (5) thought it less important to not important.

How do you value:	1 Extremely important		2 Very important		3 Important		4 Less important		5 Not important	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
If you are resident in other parts of the parish * "Living in a rural environment with links to the village?"	16	55%	4	14%	4	14%	3	10%	2	7%

Of 306 questionnaires answered, 89% (273) participants resident in the village thought it was extremely important, very important or important 'Living in a smaller rural community in a rural environment with links to the village?' 11% (33) thought it less important to not important.

How do you value:	1 Extremely important		2 Very important		3 Important		4 Less important		5 Not important	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
And or wherever you reside in the parish * 'Living in a rural environment but with reasonable proximity to more urban centres and transport links?'	152	50%	65	21%	56	18%	27	9%	6	2%

3.1 b If there are other things not covered by the above, that you value most about living in the village or parish of Hanslope, please state these briefly:

Of the **149** participants who responded to question 3.1b, the following areas were identified as **'other things not covered'**. It should be noted that each participant indicated **one to a number** of **'other things not covered'** which have been listed in priority of most mentioned.

Other things not covered values most about living in village/parish of Hanslope	Number
Sense of community, atmosphere, friendliness within village life	68
Sense of Space - views of the countryside, agricultural land and landmarks (i.e. church)	58
Value size (just the way it is, rural not urban)	40
Good balance of Facilities & amenities and access to them (school, shops, post office, church, recreational activities, etc.)	31
Peace. Quiet and Tranquillity	27
Feeling of Safety & Security	15
Conservation of wildlife	14
Nice walking routes bridleways	14
Green Belt area to be protected	11
Traffic issues mentioned - specifically (speed levels, use of village instead of main routes for commuters)	10
Convenient Commuting - access to road, rail and bus services	7
History of Village	4
Manageable traffic flow	4
Facilities to be improved (small amount of development without compromising rural aspects)	3
Cycling - Great cycling location	2
Agricultural Activities	2
Good School	2
Allowing school access to students outside village	1

3.2 How would you describe your attitude to future residential development in the village/parish?

(417 answered)

Reluctant to support any	Agreeable to see further
further residential development	residential development in
in the village & or other parts of	the village & or parish
the parish apart from	dependent on where and
refurbishment and renewal	what kind
250	167

Of the number (167) agreeable to further residential development in the village & or parish the following observations were made:

Did not agree to even more significant	Left blank even more significant	Agreeable to even more
residential development nearby the	residential development nearby	significant residential
village or in other parts of the parish	the village or in other parts of the	development nearby the
	parish	village or in other parts of
		the parish
110	47	10

With reference to the 110 residents who did not agree to even more significant residential development nearby the village or in other parts of the parish, the majority indicated they were only happy to agree to the following:

- One or small numbers of houses on available sites
- Small estates of 5 to10 houses on available sites

3.3 If there is future housing development in the village/parish, what type of housing do you believe is the most important for the Parish Council to support?

Of the **341** survey participants who responded to supporting the development of **1 or 2 bedroom houses**:

• <u>84% (285)</u> indicated it was very important to important, <u>16% (56)</u> responded less important to not important.

Of the **286** survey participants who responded to supporting the development of **1 or 2 bedroom flats**:

• 48% (136) indicated very important to important, <u>52% (150)</u> less important to not important.

Of the **315** survey participants who responded to supporting development of **3 or 4 bedroom houses**:

• <u>58% (181)</u> indicated very important to important 42% (134) less important to not important.

Of the **283** survey participants who responded to supporting development of **4 or 5+ bedroom houses**:

• 13% (37) indicated very important to important <u>87% (246)</u> less important to not important.

Of the **309** survey participants who responded to supporting development of **Care facilities:**

• <u>70% (214)</u> indicated very important to important <u>30% (95)</u> less important to not important.

Of the **320** survey participants who responded to supporting development of **sheltered houses**:

• <u>67% (213)</u> indicated very important to important <u>33% (107)</u> less important to not important.

Of the 290 survey participants who responded to supporting development of homes with designated office or workshop space:

• 25% (74) indicated very important to important <u>75% (216)</u> less important to not important.

	Very Important		Important		Less importar	nt	Not important		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
1 or 2 bed houses	150	44%	135	40%	28	8%	28	8%	
1 or 2 bed flats	66	23%	70	25%	70	24%	80	28%	
3 or 4 bed houses	58	19%	123	39%	70	22%	64	20%	
4 or 5 + bed houses	16	6%	21	7%	80	28%	166	59%	
Specialist housing e.g:									
Care facilities	98	32%	116	38%	60	19%	35	11%	
Sheltered housing	92	29%	121	38%	61	19%	46	14%	
Homes with designated office or workshop space (live/work units)	15	5%	59	20%	94	33%	122	42%	

3.4 National policy requires that all substantial new development should provide a percentage of 'affordable homes' (currently 30%). Do you believe that is it also important that a proportion of any new housing development in the village/parish should also be available for renting or on special schemes?

Of the **337** survey participants who responded to whether they believe it is important that a proportion of any new housing development in the village/parish should be available for **social (council) rental**:

• 62% (209) indicated very important to important 38% (128) less important to not important

Of the **336** survey participants who responded to whether they believe it is important that a proportion of any new housing development in the village/parish should be available for **shared ownership**:

• 66% (223) indicated very important to important 34% (113) less important to not important

Of the **367** survey participants who responded to whether they believe it is important that a proportion of any new housing development in the village/parish should be available for **within schemes to assist first time buyers**:

• 85% (310) indicated very important to important 15% (57) less important to not important

More accommodation should be made available as:	Very Important		Important		Less imp	ortant	Not important		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
Social (council) rental	118	35%	91	27%	59	18%	69	20%	
Shared ownership	99	29%	124	37%	63	19%	50	15%	
Within schemes to assist first time buyers	172	47%	138	38%	26	7%	31	8%	

3.5 With respect to possible future residential housing development in Hanslope, how concerned would you be about each of the following:

Of the 408 survey participants who responded how concerned they would be about impact on the environment (e.g. flooding, drainage, biodiversity etc):

• <u>95% (389)</u> indicated greatly concerned to some concern, 5% (19) indicated not concerned to no particular opinion.

Of the 409 survey participants who responded how concerned they would be about **impact on views in and around the village**:

• <u>96% (393)</u> indicated greatly concerned to some concern, 4% (16) indicated not concerned to no particular opinion.

Of the **410** survey participants who responded how concerned they would be about **increased parking needs**:

• <u>99% (405)</u> indicated greatly concerned to some concern, 1% (5) indicated not concerned to no particular opinion.

Of the **413** survey participants who responded how concerned they would be about **increased traffic and congestion**:

• <u>98% (405)</u> indicated greatly concerned to some concern, 2% (8) indicated not concerned to no particular opinion.

Of the 413 survey participants who responded how concerned they would be about adequate infrastructure (e.g. schools, roads, water, sewage):

• <u>99% (410)</u> indicated greatly concerned to some concern, 1% (3) indicated not concerned to no particular opinion.

Of the 408 survey participants who responded how concerned they would be about pressure on school places:

• <u>94% (381)</u> indicated greatly concerned to some concern, 6% (27) indicated not concerned to no particular opinion

Of the **415** survey participants who responded how concerned they would be about **pressure on the doctors' surgery**:

• <u>99% (408)</u> indicated greatly concerned to some concern, 1% (7) indicated not concerned to no particular opinion.

	Greatly concerned		Some concern		Not concerned		No particular opinion	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Impact on the environment (e.g. flooding, drainage, biodiversity etc.)	323	79%	66	16%	16	4%	3	1%
Impact on views in and around the village	313	76%	80	20%	16	4%	0	0%
Increased parking needs	341	83%	64	16%	5	1%	0	0%
Increased traffic and congestion	372	90%	33	8%	8	2%	0	0%
Adequate infrastructure (e.g. schools, roads, water, sewage)	376	91%	34	8%	2	1%	1	0%
Pressure on school places	308	76%	73	18%	14	3%	13	3%
Pressure on the doctors surgery	359	87%	49	12%	6	1%	1	0%

3.6 Hanslope is primarily a rural community, but in addition to the employment provided on the farms and on the other rural facilities in the parish, the shops/pubs in the village, and also Hanslope Park, there are a number of other largely small commercial enterprises that also provide important employment opportunities (located on such as farm diversification units).

Of the 362 survey participants who responded to 3.6 a):

• <u>83% (301)</u> agree there is enough commercial development and related units within the **village envelope** and no more major development should be supported, 17% (61) do not agree

Of the **331** survey participants who responded to 3.6 a):

• <u>78% (259)</u> agree there is enough commercial development and related units in **other parts of the parish** and no more major development should be supported, 22% (72) do not agree.

c) Depending on where and how developed, commercial development should be supported within the village envelope or in other parts of the parish (e.g. using redundant farm buildings) to sustain the community and expand employment opportunities:

Of the **338** survey participants who responded to 3.6 c):

• 84% (284) agree for the reasonable expansion of existing businesses, 16% (54) do not agree

Of the **344** survey participants who responded to 3.6 c):

• 87% (300) agree for new small local start-up businesses, 13% (44) do not agree

Of the **327** survey participants who responded to 3.6 c):

• 62% (202) agree for small incoming established businesses., 38% (125) do not agree

Of the **207** survey participants who responded to 3.6 c):

• 14% (29)_agree for large incoming established businesses, 86% (178) do not agree

Our view is that:	AGREE		DO NOT AGREE		
	Numbers	%	Numbers	%	
a) There is enough commercial development and related units within the village envelope and no more major development should be supported.	301	83%	61	17%	

c) Depending on where and how developed, commercial development should be supported within the **village envelope or in other parts of the parish** (e.g. using redundant farm buildings) to sustain the community and expand employment opportunities:

For the reasonable expansion of existing businesses.	284	84%	54	16%
For new small local start-up businesses.	300	87%	44	13%
For small incoming established businesses.	202	62%	125	38%
For large incoming established businesses.	29	14%	178	86%